LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 8 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

~~330~~
No. _____

J. C. WADE,

Petitioner,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

ZACH H. DOUGLAS
Suite 104 Law Exchange Building
238 East Forsyth Street
Jacksonville, Florida

Attorney for Petitioner

CITATIONS

	Page
CASES:	
Boyd v. United States	12
Goleman et al v. United States	5
Coyne v. United States	8
Fiswick v. United States	12
Gilbeau v. United States	12
Helton v. United States	11
Manley v. United States	8
Mora v. United States	12
Pierce v. United States	9
Richardson v. United States	10
United States v. C. L. Guild Construction Company	8
United States v. Haynes	10
United States v. Montgomery	10
STATUTES:	
28 U.S. Code, Section 1254(1)	2

INDEX

	Page
REPORTS OF OPINIONS BELOW	2
JURISDICTION	2
QUESTION PRESENTED	2
SUPREME COURT RULE INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
ARGUMENT	
THE TRIAL JUDGE COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR, WHICH SUBSEQUENT DIRECTIONS TO THE JURY COULD NOT CURE, BY ALLOWING THE GOVERNMENT PROSECUTOR TO ASK PETITIONER IF PETITIONER HAD FILED INCOME TAX RETURNS FOR THE YEARS 1960, 1961 AND 1962, AND IF PETITIONER HAD BEEN PROSECUTED FOR INCOME TAX EVASION	4
I. EVIDENCE OF ACTS OF PETITIONER IN FAILING TO FILE INCOME TAX RETURNS IN PRIOR YEARS IS COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT AND INADMISSIBLE FOR ANY PURPOSE IN A PROSECUTION FOR MAIL FRAUD	5
II. QUESTIONS INVOLVING OTHER DEFENSES ARE THEMSELVES SERIOUS ERROR, INDEPENDENTLY OF WHETHER OR NOT THE ANSWERS, IF ALLOWED INTO EVIDENCE, ARE PREJUDICIAL TO THE ACCUSED	7

Page

III. THE RULE AGAINST QUESTIONS DELVING INTO PRIOR ACTS OF A DEFENDANT IN ORDER TO IMPEACH DEFENDANT'S CHARACTER APPLIES WHETHER THE ACTS ARE OFFENSES, OR MERELY ACTS OF MISCONDUCT, WHICH TEND TO DEGRADE HIS CHARACTER BEFORE THE JURY 9

IV. THE EFFECT OF ADMITTING EVIDENCE RELATING TO OTHER OFFENSES, IF CALCULATED TO IMPRESS THE JURY WITH THE CRIMINAL CHARACTER OF DEFENDANT, IS SO PREJUDICIAL THAT IT CONSTITUTES REVERSIBLE ERROR DESPITE DIRECTIONS FROM COURT TO JURY ATTEMPTING TO CURE THE ERROR 11

CONCLUSION 13

PROOF OF SERVICE 14

APPENDICES:

A. ORIGINAL OPINION, UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT 15

B. JUDGMENT, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17

C. ORDER DENYING REHEARING 19

D. ORDER STAYING MANDATE 20

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No.

J. C. WADE,

Petitioner,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

J. C. Wade prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, entered in the above case on May 7, 1965.